- 1 JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me ask you. The part of the
- testimony we're talking about, which is the question
- 3 beginning on line 15 of page 3 --
- 4 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: -- and your answer which goes
- the rest of page 3 and page 4, you're speaking for yourself
- 7 only; is that correct?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, so where you say, "We had
- to rely totally on the expertise, "you mean you had to rely
- 11 totally --
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- on the expertise?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: And where you say, "We had three
- 16 very capable, "this was your personal opinion of them.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Based upon what your answers to
- 19 Ms. Lancaster's questions, and subject to his answers. This
- is his personal opinion; is that correct?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: "As a partner, I know there was
- 23 never any intention, "that's your personal, you never had
- 24 any intention.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Right.

- 1 JUDGE STEINBERG: Whether you had anything to do with it or not. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE STEINBERG: And so basically, you are 5 speaking for yourself and nobody else? THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, that's the way I interpreted it. 8 MS. LANCASTER: And, Your Honor, he is speaking 9 about himself and not about all the other partners, that --10 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, this is his opinion. mean, whether his opinion is based on reasonable assumptions 12 13 or not reasonable assumptions, you will have a chance to draw it out more. I mean, his opinion of these people is 14 15 they are very nice, and they are capable and honest people. The Commission's opinion might be different. My opinion 16 might be different. Your opinion might be different. And 17 this is his opinion. And maybe what his opinion of the 18
- 21 Frankly, who cares what you think of these people.

capability of the other people are might not matter one wit

- 22 It's what I think, you know. You can think whatever you
- 23 want. It doesn't matter to me. I have to judge the case on
- 24 the basis of everything. And if I think your opinion

19

20

in my determination.

doesn't matter in terms of the facts, your opinion is

- 1 wonderful. That's what we are here to get. But I don't
- 2 know that it's going to be very probative.
- 3 So that's the ruling, and so this material will be
- 4 limited to -- it is to be understood to reflect his opinion
- only, because he cannot speak for the other people,
- 6 obviously.
- 7 MR. HILL: That's on the competence objection.
- 8 What about the re-litigating?
- 9 MR. EVANS: The re-litigating, I look at it as
- background. Believe me, if a finding is made, Alee had to
- 11 rely totally on the expertise of attorneys and cellular
- experts, and we relied on the Cellular Corporation in any
- manner, in any exculpatory manner other than background, and
- this is what the argument was, and this is what their
- mindset was at the time, you can certainly point out that
- their mindset was rejected by the Judge or rejected by the
- 17 Commission or rejected by the courts, and it's not
- 18 exculpatory, and I don't think it's being offered. We have
- 19 to know what's changed since then to make the Commission
- want to trust these people again, frankly, if anything.
- I just look at it as background as indicating
- partially how we got here, although everyone really knows
- 23 how we got here. I see it as kind of harmless. I'm not
- 24 going to get sucked into an argument that they shouldn't
- have been disqualified in the first place.

- 1 MR, HILL: We're not going to make that argument.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I didn't think you would.
- MR. EVANS: Well, they are saying, it does look
- 4 like the item that they are making is that everything was
- 5 the responsibility of Mr. Kane and Mr. Franklin, and that
- 6 the remaining people were misled by them, and that's what I
- 7 think the Commission itself rejected.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, the Commission rejected
- 9 it, I'm going to reject it, and you will know that up front,
- 10 so don't make the argument. The argument for innocence has
- 11 already been made and been rejected, and it's not going to
- be -- you know, they were found culpable, and three of the
- people who are going to have their own -- I guess, Mr. Jones
- 14 is not testifying, is that right? I notice the name
- 15 missing.
- MR. HILL: No, he is.
- MS. LANCASTER: No, he's listed.
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, he is?
- MR. HILL: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Then it must have been another
- 21 name. Oh, yes, I see. I just -- Jones is a very hard name
- to remember.
- Okay, so Exhibit No. 4 is received subject to the
- 24 rulings that I have made.
- 25 //

1	(The document referred to,
2	previously identified as Alee
3	Exhibit No. 4, was received in
4	evidence.)
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the witness is available
6	for cross-examination. And who is going to be first?
7	MS, LANCASTER: I assumed I would.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you work it out with Mr.
9	Evans?
1 0	MR. EVANS: We assumed that the Bureau would go
11	first and we would do cleanup.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. LANCASTER:
15	Q Mr. Malanga, explain to me what you think Alee did
16	wrong to merit termination of its New Mexico $\it 3$ license?
17	A Alee, what we did wrong was I think when we
18	came to the Texas 21 license, we had a listing of different
19	members of the partnership, but there was never an amendment
20	sent to the FCC stating what those changes were.
21	So in other words, the first set of partners
22	didn't match up with the second set of partners in Texas 21.
23	Q All right, you're talking about Texas 21?
24	A Right.
25	Q I'm talking about New Mexico 3.

- 1 A Okay.
- 3 terminated.
- 4 A Right.
- 5 O Correct?
- 6 A Um-hmm.
- 7 Q Why was it terminated, in your opinion? What did
- 8 Alee do wrong to cause that to happen?
- 9 A I believe we notified them that we had a change
- in -- we had a notification as I understand might have been
- verbal at first, so they were aware, and then we sent an
- amendment, and the amendment did not have the change of this
- 13 other partner.
- 14 Q I don't know what -- can you be more specific as
- 15 to what you mean?
- 16 A As I understand it, we had our original set of
- 17 partners, okay. We were told that there was an alien, okay,
- and that was taken care of. We were not told who the alien
- was, by the way, and I remember that very specifically. And
- 20 apparently when the paperwork was -- so there had to be an
- 21 original set of partners, I guess, before we even won any
- 22 partnerships.
- 23 Q Are you talking about on the application --
- 24 A Right.
- 25 Q -- for that license?

- 1 A Right. I would say yes. Okay. And then when we
- 2 sent in the information regarding the license itself, when
- 3 we went on air, you know, New Mexico 3, there was no change
- 4 of partners when there should have been.
- 5 0 **So** you failed to file an amendment with the
- 6 Commission --
- 7 A Right.
- 8 0 -- indicating that there was a change; that's your
- 9 understanding --
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q -- of why Alee lost the New Mexico 3 license?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q Any other reasons?
- 14 A Well, lack of candor as a result of all that.
- 15 Q You understand that the argument was made at the
- 16 hearings in Algreg that the reason Alee lacked condor or
- 17 whatever it was, was because it relied upon other people?
- 18 A The argument on our part?
- 19 Q Yes.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you understand that that argument was
- 22 rejected?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q But you still make that argument today?
- MR. HILL: Your Honor, I object to Ms. Lancaster's

- 1 characterization. I don't think he was making an argument.
- 2 He was trying to address her question of what his
- 3 understanding of the --
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Sustained.
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q In your opinion, did Alee do anything wrong to
- 7 deserve cancellation of the New Mexico 3 license?
- 8 A In my opinion?
- 9 O Yes.
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q When you first became a partner in Alee, did you
- agree to participate in a risk-sharing agreement?
- 13 A In the beginning, yes.
- 14 Q Yes. Is that agreement still binding?
- MR. HILL: Your Honor, I object to this line of
- 16 questioning. The risk-sharing agreement issue is resolved
- in the original Algreg proceed. It was not the basis for
- any of the lack of candor bindings. It's irrelevant to the
- 19 issues here.
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I would disagree. The
- 21 risk-sharing agreement is still subject to litigation. It's
- 22 still pending today in the D.C. Circuit Court although you
- 23 would not know that from the responses that we received from
- 24 Alee, and I think it certainly goes to their propensity for
- 25 lack of candor, ongoing propensity for lack of candor.

1	MR. EVANS: I certainly intend to ask a number of
2	questions about the risk-sharing agreement for a number of
3	reasons, among them the one that Ms. Lancaster cited, which
4	is continuing lack of candor, but also if in fact the risk-
5	sharing agreement is still in existence and still in effect,
6	I think that is a violation of the FCC's rules, and it's
7	something that you need to take into account in the rehab
8	decision that you have to make.
9	MR. HILL: Your Honor, the risk sharing this is
10	from memory now in the 1997 Algreg decision, I'm
11	paraphrasing my understanding of the Commission's findings,
12	they declared the risk-sharing agreement is a matter of
13	Commission policy as null and void. That's binding on all
14	of us.
15	I can represent to the Court that I am aware there
16	is a declaratory judgment proceeding in the Superior Court
17	of the District of Columbia where one of the risk-sharing
18	participants has asked the court to declare the agreement
19	null and void, and that the arbitration provisions are not
20	operative because there have been, I think, some
2 1	correspondence from lawyers and
22	MS. LANCASTER: Excuse me. Your Honor, I'm going
23	to object to Mr. Hill basically testifying at this point. I
24	am asking a partner who supposedly is telling me that, you
25	know, he and the other Alee partners are now candid, and
	Harritana Danashina Garmanahian

- 1 basically Mr. Hill's testimony is informing this particular
- witness if he didn't already know as to the very nature of
- 3 the question that I am questioning.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: I will overrule the object. One
- of the elements of rehabilitation is, in essence, that there
- 6 have been no subsequent rule -- no rule violations since the
- 7 adverse action was taken by the Commission, and this is
- 8 relevant to that. And if it turns out -- you can argue in
- 9 the findings and conclusions what weight should be given to
- 10 it, if any.
- Okay, why don't you repeat the guestion.
- MS. LANCASTER: If I could remember it. I was
- going to ask the court reporter to go back and tell me what
- my question was.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Is that difficult to do?
- 16 (Accordingly, the pending question was played
- back by the court reporter.)
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 19 Q Okay, is that agreement still binding?
- 20 A I would say no.
- Q Why do you say that?
- 22 A I say no because, as I mentioned before, as far as
- 23 I know all the other partnerships have sold all their
- interests. The only one I know for sure again is my sister.
- 25 So based on that, I don't see how it could be enforced or

- 1 still be in force.
- 2 Q Are you aware of the litigation regarding the
- 3 risk-sharing agreement that's currently pending in the D.C.
- 4 Circuit Court?
- 5 A No.
- 7 A Not -- no.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: Other than what Mr. Hill said
- 9 this morning.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Right. I understand that. No, I
- 11 can -- no.
- 12 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 13 Q So you have not been informed about that by Mr.
- 14 Bernstein, by Mr. Bernstein?
- 15 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 16 Q Ms. Clark?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q By Mr. Jones?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Do you know that Alee is a part **of** that
- 21 litigation?
- 22 A If it's what we are saying now it still in
- litigation, yes, I guess we would be like all the other 23.
- 24 Q You're not aware though that Alee is party to that
- 25 litigation? You have no personal knowledge of it?

- 1 A No, because I didn't know there was any litigation
- 2 occurring.
- Okay. I assume you were a partner when Alee
- 4 entered into a management agreement with Mobile Media, I
- 5 think.
- 6 A Metro Mobile.
- 7 Q Metro Mobile, excuse me.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You were a partner at that time?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 O You are aware that Alee granted Metro Mobile a
- 12 five percent option?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Why was the option put into a side letter dated
- and executed the exact same day as the management agreement?
- MR. HILL: Your Honor, I object to this question.
- 17 This is beyond the scope of this witness's direct testimony.
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I thought we were
- doing this one time, and I have a right to ask everything at
- 20 one time.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, was Mr. Malanga one of the
- 22 witnesses that said you would direct examine?
- MS. LANCASTER: No.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: He is not?
- 25 MS. LANCASTER: I was unaware of this witness at

- 1 the time, Your Honor.
- 2 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Let me just --
- MR. HILL: You were aware of the witness as of
- 4 September 18th exchange date. The witness notification came
- 5 several weeks later.
- 6 MR. EVANS: Well, the other thing is if -- I know
- 7 what Ms. Lancaster is going to. It does test the witness's
- 8 statement that they always wanted assurances of FCC
- 9 compliance before proceeding with any actions.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I'm looking for something in
- 11 this particular testimony.
- 12 (Pause.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Objection overruled. Page 3 of
- the testimony, he says he's "been kept informed of
- partnership activities by attending meetings personally, and
- by telephone when I could not be there in person, listen to
- our management committee, our advisors and ask questions, "
- and this certainly goes to the scope of what he knows about
- 19 the activities of the partnership.
- 20 BY MS, LANCASTER:
- Q Why was the option agreement put in a side letter
- 22 dated and executed the same day as the management agreement?
- 23 It's an option agreement with the same party.
- 24 A I don't know that, the reason for that.
- 25 Q Who drafted the option agreement?

- 1 A The option with the five percent?
- 2 Q Yes.
- 3 A I'm sure it was the people of our executive
- 4 committee, I would think. I would think. Again, we're
- 5 going back a little time ago.
- 6 May I ask a question?
- 7 MR, HILL: No.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay, that's what I thought.
- 9 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 10 Q The option agreement --
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: I was going to say sure.
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 THE WITNESS: It would be nice.
- 14 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- The option agreement is still in effect though,
- 16 isn't it?
- 17 A I believe it is, but I think a lot of it was
- 18 pending whether or not it would have FCC approval, if they
- 19 would allow us to do that. As far as I know, there has
- 20 never been a decision about that, or at this point no
- 21 necessity for it either. And plus, we're not with Metro
- 22 Mobile anymore.
- 23 Q You are with Metro Mobile, but who took over after
- 24 Metro Mobile?
- 25 A Bell Atlantic.

		01
1	Q	All right, and who took up after Bell Atlantic?
2	А	Altell.
3	Q	And you are still using the same management
4	agreement	that was originally draft for Metro Mobile; is
5	that corr	ect?
6	А	It's the same management agreement with in
7	other wor	ds, having them manage the site?
8	Q	Was the original management agreement that was
9	drafted f	or Metro Mobile assigned to Bell Atlantic?
10	A	I believe it was.
11	Q	And was that same management agreement then
12	assigned	to the current manager, Altell?
13	A	I would say yes, but I mean, that's
14	Q	Do you know?
15	A	No, I really don't know for sure. No.
16	Q	Has there been any discussion with the partners
17	about the	management agreement and the option agreement?
18	А	There has been discussion, but I think not in the
19	sense tha	t like I am saying here. I went to meetings and
20	all these	things were brought up over time, I'm sure.
21		Give me the rest of your question. I'm sorry.
22		In other words, like we had these meetings. We
23	would dis	cuss these matter many times for information

purposes and so on. As far as the five percent is

concerned, I am aware of that.

24

25

- 1 Q Okay. It's five percent of what?
- 2 A I would say of our -- well, I don't know. It's
- 3 either gross proceeds or profit.
- 4 0 Is it five -- five percent of income, is that what
- 5 you are saying, the option -- a five percent of is of
- 6 income?
- 7 A No, I'm sorry. It was five percent -- it was five
- 8 percent ownership.
- 9 Q Five percent of ownership in Alee?
- 10 A Right.
- MS. LANCASTER: One moment, Your Honor.
- 12 (Pause.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Try No. 17.
- 14 MS. LANCASTER: Yes, I know, but I had my own copy
- that had additional information on it, and I am not finding
- 16 it.
- 17 May we go off the record for one second? I know I
- 18 have got it.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, off the record.
- 20 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 21 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, Ms. Lancaster.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Mr. Malanga, I'm going to show you what I have
- 24 marked as EB Exhibit 17.
- 25 Can you look at that and tell me if you recognize

1	it?	
2		JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, let me just identify it
3	first.	
4		MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
5		JUDGE STEINBERG: EB Exhibit 17 is a letter on the
6	letterhea	d of Metro Mobile, November 19, 1990 letter, and
7	it's thre	e pages in length, and it will be marked for
8	identific	cation as EB Exhibit 17.
9		(The document referred to was
10		marked for identification as
11		EB Exhibit No. 17.)
12		JUDGE STEINBERG: And the witness has a copy of it
13	and is re	eading through it.
14		(Witness reviews document.)
15		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Are you waiting for my
16	answer?	
17		MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
18		BY MS. LANCASTER:
19	Q	Have you seen that before?
20	А	Yes, I have.
2 1	Q	When was the last time you saw it?
22	А	Yesterday.
23	Q	Oh, yesterday. Who showed it to you yesterday?
24	А	One of our I think it was Terry Jones had a

copy of it

25

1	Q And according to this, your understanding of this
2	agreement, the five percent option is in what?
3	A In in an interest of five percent.
4	Q An interest in what?
5	A In ownership.
6	Q In ownership in Alee?
7	A Right.
8	Q Okay. I'm going to show you EB Exhibit what
9	has been marked EB Exhibit 16.
1 0	JUDGE STEINBERG: Nothing has been marked yet.
11	MS, LANCASTER: Sorry.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: You have to identify it.
13	MS. LANCASTER: I was about to, which I will
1 4	identify as a copy of a management agreement executed on the
15	19th day of November, 1990, between Metro Mobile CTS of the
16	Southwest, Inc. and Alee Cellular Corporation.
17	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and I've got 31 pages.
18	The document described will be marked as EB Exhibit 16.
19	(The document referred to was
20	marked for identification as
2 1	EB Exhibit No. 16.)
22	BY MS. LANCASTER:
23	Q I was just wanted to know if you are familiar with
24	that document.
25	A I just don't know. It's 1990. I mean, looking at
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

- 1 it, it all sounds familiar to me, you know, from that point
- of view. But based on everything that -- the mail that we
- 3 received, I am sure, I am confident, let's put it that way,
  - 4 that I did see that originally.
  - 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Did Terry Jones show it to you
  - 6 yesterday or within the last couple of week, or anybody else
  - 7 other than Ms. Lancaster?
  - 8 THE WITNESS: I don't remember that in particular.
  - 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
  - 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
  - 11 Q Did you have any kind of meeting with Terry Jones
  - or anyone else to go over what your testimony would be
  - 13 today?
  - 14 A No, not my own testimony. No.
  - 15 Q Okay, what was the meeting with you and Terry
  - 16 Jones about then?
  - 17 A There was no meeting with me and Terry Jones. It
  - 18 was all of us.
  - 19 0 It was what?
  - 20 A The meeting was with all the partners. I'm sorry,
  - 21 with all the witnesses.
  - 22 Q Okay. And what did you discuss --
  - 23 MR. HILL: I object to that. That goes into
- . 24 attorney/client privilege.
  - 25 JUDGE STEINBERG: Establish a foundation. I mean,

was this a meeting and your attorneys were there? 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 JUDGE STEINBERG: And you were talking about the 3 hearing today? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE STEINBERG: And preparing for it? 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I'll sustain. 8 MS LANCASTER: Okay. 9 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Unless you want to waive the privilege. 11 12 MR. HILL: I do not want to waive the privilege. JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, it's his privilege. 13 I know, but I would advise him not to. 14 MR. HILL: 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: But you would advise him not to, and you take your attorney's advice, right? 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes. 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okav. 18 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Had to ask. THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 BY MS. LANCASTER: 2 1 Were you aware in this particular hearing, an Alee 22 0 23 hearing, that the Enforcement Bureau filed discovery documents to Alee in which the Enforcement Bureau asked 24

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

questions, for example, of the Alee partnership? Were you

25

- 1 aware of that?
- 2 A When you say the Enforcement Bureau, I don't know.
- We did, this side of the table.
- 4 A That's what I thought. Okay.
- 5 Q We filed documents asking questions of Alee. Are
- 6 you aware of that?
- 7 A Regarding this proceeding?
- a Q This proceeding, correct.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Were you ever contacted by anyone on the executive
- 11 committee and asked your answers to specific questions?
- 12 A No, not that I recollect.
- 13 Q So Terry Jones never called you and said we've got
- 14 to know, we have been asked such and such, and what is your
- response **to** that?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Can I clarify? The only question, I think there
- was something regarding had we ever been convicted of a
- 19 felony and things of that nature. That I answered. But
- 20 other that there has been no -- no specific questions on
- 21 what my answer would be or on any particular thing.
- 22 Q All right. When were you asked whether you had
- 23 been convicted of a felony?
- 24 A I would say, regarding this procedure, I believe
- 25 maybe a month and a half ago, something like that.

- 1 Q By who?
- 2 A Specifically, I don't remember. In other words, I
- 3 d n't think it was a ph n call. It was by mail if I
  - 4 remember correctly.
  - 5 O You received something in the mail?
  - 6 A I believe so, and we had to sign it and get it
  - 7 back right away.
  - 8 Q Do you recall, was it a particular kind of a form?
  - 9 Did it have a title on it?
- 10 A I don't remember.
- 11 Q Who did you return it to?
- 12 A I don't remember exactly, specific, I don't
- 13 remember.
- 14 O And this was approximately six weeks ago?
- 15 A I would think so, yes. It could be more though
- 16 time-wise.
- 17 O Aside from that one question, were you asked any
- 18 other questions?
- 19 A No.
- Q And I believe you stated you don't have any idea
- 21 why the option agreement was originally placed in a side
- 22 letter. Was that your testimony?
- 23 A Right.
- Can you explain a side letter to me actually?
  - 25 Q A side letter would be the letter that I showed

- 1 you which was Exhibit 17. I am referring to that as a side
- 2 letter as opposed to having the option term put into the
- 3 management agreement.
- 4 Do you have any idea it was put in a letter as
- 5 opposed to having --
- 6 A No.
- 8 A Well, other than -- I don't know if this would
- 9 come into what you are saying, but there was a financial
- 10 reason for that, because we had problems apparently to get
- 11 financing to build out our system. So that was an offer
- that we were trying to make to them, and pending the FCC
- approval enabling us to do that.
- So side, I don't know whether that has anything to
- do with the agreement itself necessarily.
- 16 0 Well, I believe what you are saying is that you
- offered them an option in negotiating with them to pay up-
- 18 front cost, is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 Q But you knew that when you entered -- that was
- 23 part of the contract negotiations originally, wasn't it?
- 24 A You mean in a management agreement?
- 25 O Right

- 1 A That I don't know.
- 3 A Don't know.
  - 4 Q Do you know if there has been any discussion in
  - 5 the partnership about offering additional options to other
  - 6 management companies or any successor management companies?
  - 7 A Not that I'm aware of.
  - 8 O There has never been a discussion about that at
  - 9 any partnership meeting that you attended?
- 10 A Not that I remember.
- 11 Q Who currently manages the New Mexico 3 license?
- 12 A Altell.
- O Altell?
- 14 A Um-hmm.
- 15 Q And have you had any contact with Altell?
- 16 A No.
- 17 O Do you know where the tower sites are for --
- 18 A I know that they run from Los Cruces to
- 19 Albuquerque on, I think it's Highway No. 25, or in that
- 20 corridor.
- 21 0 Okay. **Do** you know specifically where the various
- tower sites are located?
- A Truth or Consequence, I remember, is one, and
- 24 Socorro, I believe it is, or Bernardo. There is also a site
  - on our government land property. Apparently there is a camp

- of some sort, government camp for boys, I believe.
- 2 Q Okay. Do you know the others?
- 3 A No, I don't.
- 4 Q How many are there in total?
- 5 A Of cell sites that we broadcast from I guess you
- 6 would say?
- 7 O Yes.
- 8 A There is eight.
- 9 Okay. So you know four of them?
- 10 A The four that I just said, yes.
- 11 O All right.
- 12 A But we also -- right. I only know the four.
- 0 Beg your pardon?
- 14 A The four that I mentioned, I know.
- Does Alee have any employees?
- 16 A Not that I'm aware of.
- Q Who operates the cell sites?
- 18 A Who operates it?
- 19 Q Yes.
- 20 A It would be Altell.
- 21 Okay. So does Alee utilize the employees of
- 22 Altell exclusively to run the New Mexico facilities?
- 23 A I don't know. I would assume so because they are
- 24 managing the whole thing.
  - 25 Q And who would control the daily operations of